RECEIVED

2024 JAN -2 AM 10: 51

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT

Dec. 29, 2023

Honorable Judge John Dorsey

824 N. Market St.

5th Fl. Courtroom 5

Wilmington, DE 19801

USA

(302) 533 - 3169

RE: OBJECTION to Motion of Debtors to estimate claims based on digital assets, Case No. 22-11068 (JTD), Hearing Date: January 25,2024 at 10 AM (EST)

Dear Judge Dorsey:

I am writing to formally object to the Debtor's request for an order to estimate Claims (including Customer Entitlement Claims) based on the amounts set forth in the Digital Assets Conversion Table, because this is grossly unequitable and unfair to customer creditors like myself who have their claims denominated in specific digital assets rather than in USD.

I am a customer creditor who a claim of approximately \$25,000 (at today's digital asset market prices) denominated in Solana digital asset. This is of importance because digital asset prices have soared since the time of the original bankruptcy petition. For example, Solana price was \$16.247 on 11/11/22. Market price of Solana is at \$106.42 as of 12/29/23.

Debtor's Motion to value customer claims at the time of the petition would unfairly prejudice a significant class of customers which have their claims denominated in specific digital assets. I know my view is not a lone objection.

- The Motion by Debtors ignores the express terms of the FTX Terms of Service (ToS)
  Clause 8.2.6 of ToS expressly states as follows:
  - A) Title to your Digital Assets shall at all times remain with you and shall not transfer to FTX Trading
  - B) None of the Digital Assets in your Account are the property of, or shall or may be loaned to FTX Trading; FTX Trading does not represent or treat Digital Assets in User's Accounts as belonging to FTX Trading.

Based on the clear terms of the ToS, I and many other customers had dealt with the exchange on the basis that our digital assets held on the exchange remained our property, so

they should not be mingled together with other assets of the Debtors. In contrast with other high profile cryptocurrency bankruptcies (e.g. Celsius) where the digital assets were a loan to the platform. Based on ToS, digital assets held at FTX exchange were NOT loaned to the exchange but rather customers should be entitled to claim ownership of their digital assets.

At the crux of the objection to the Debtor's Motion regarding customer assets, there is a very important question of law which remains undetermined: Given the express language of the ToS, whether customer assets form part of the Debtors' estate or whether customer assets would remain owned by customers and/or subject to a trust in favor of customers. The resulting remedies and application of bankruptcy law would naturally follow the determination of this critical question of law.

As far as I am aware, the Debtors have not applied to court for a determination on this question of law, nor have any arguments for either position been openly heard before a court. I am aware that there were private negotiations between certain customers/creditors and Debtors regarding this critical question of law, in respect of which the Debtors had separately settled out of court.

If the court finds that customer assets do not form part of the Debtor's estate, then customer assets should be returned in the same form in which they were held.

2. Ad Hoc Committee (AHC) does not represent the interests of customer claimants with claims denominated in specific digital assets.

Based on public information, the AHC represents claimant where "40% of the claimants are not customers, but rather opportunistic investors who had purchased customer and non-customer claims. For these group of claimants, it would favor them to have claims valued at the time of the petition, because this would effectively mean that customers claimants with claims denominated in specific digital assets which have now substantially increased in price would be robbed to reimburse the other categories of claimants and creditors.

It is NOT in the interest of claimants represented by AHC to fully and fairly engage with the court and the Debtors to seek clarity on the question of law of whether customer assets form part of the Debtors estate.

As mentioned above, I am aware there were private negotiations between claimants represented by AHC regarding this critical question of law which were not openly heard by the court. This raises serious concerns that there is indeed a triable issue to be considered, otherwise the Debtors would not have settled the claim so swiftly and privately.

Despite spending hundreds of millions primarily from customer funds on legal and professional fees, the Debtors are still unable to achieve fairness for customer claimants, who should not in any circumstances be grouped into the same class as other creditors.

Due to the unique circumstances of the Debtor's business and the cryptocurrency industry (millions of retail customers), the profile of customer claimants is scattered making it difficult for claimants to organize themselves to present formal arguments before the court.

Customer claimants like myself have already been victimized by the actions of Sam Bankman-Fried. Please do not let us be victimized again by non-customer commercial interests or negligent professional advice guiding the Debtor's action, just because there is no specific ad hoc committee formed to address these important issues.

For the reasons above, I respectfully request the court to deny the Motion, and immediately call for a determination of the critical question of law raised. I trust that you will consider these objections seriously in order to uphold fairness and integrity in the bankruptcy proceedings.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jung-Sook Lee


Case 22-11068-JTD Doc 5274 Filed 01/02/24 0.1 KG 1090372100 UNGSOOK LEE ENV 1 OF 1 ONGSAN GU EOUL 30114 OREA, SOUTH SHP#: 139F W2GM XWC SHP WT: 0.5 KG DATE: 30 DEC 2023 HIP TO: N/A (302) 533 - 3169



TR#:1Z 139 FW2 04 4374 9742

Page 4 of 4

SHP#:139F W2GM XWC



JUAEE JOHN DORSEY

824 N MARKET ST 5TH FL COURTROOM 5


WILMINGTON DE 19801 UNITED STATES

## DE 197 9 - 25



**PS SAVER** 

IACKING #: 1Z 139 FW2 04 4374 9742



LING: P/P C: DOCUMENTS

EDI - DOC

3 Premium Air Way Bill: EE462994489KR rgeable Weight: 0.1

W8 26.0.6 Zebra ZP 450 42.0A 10/2023

SEE NOTICE ON REVESCE regarding UPS Terms, and notice of limitation of liability. Where allowed by law, shipper authorizes UPS to act as forwarding agent for export control and customs purposes. If exported from the US, shipper certifies that the commodities, technology or software were exported from the US in accordance with the Export Administration. Diversion contrary to law is prohibited.

우 반송되며, 반송시 반송료가 부가되며 픽업시 수수료가 발생합니다.

ny mail item containing a prohibited good for air conveyance

g. battery, perfume, spray, speaker, etc) will be returned and imposed with return fee.



주의사항 (손해배상의 면책) © 미보험 시 손해배상 규정 초과한 실손해액 ② 음식물 부패 및 세관 폐기 ③ 화재, 현재지면 등 불가참력적인 경우 ③ 물품내용과 세관신고서를 다르게 작성한 경우

1 확인

기표지 작성 전 반드시 뒷면의 지침을 참고하십시오.

Signature 서 명

certify the information I provided.

nsurance Value

보험가액

Please read the guidance in the back page before completing address label. 세관신고서와 보험이용여부 및 보험가액 그리고 받는 사람 주소는 빠짐입이 기재 및 표시하여야 합니다.



o 받는 사람	(Addressee	e) .				
el. No. 전화번 ull Name 이름	(302)	53	3	31	69	
ull Name 이름	僧思プレム	66	JOH	IN	Do	R.
	827	16.	- AT	PRK	$\leftarrow$	51
FI	, c	000	-TR	ODM	5	-
ity 도시W/	MIN	670	Coun	try Nakia	ENG 영	로)

ostal Code 우편번호 1980 Country 나라(in KOR 한글) USA nsurance 보험

Reception 접수자 기입란 shipping Insurance ☐ Yes Dates & Time Posted 접수년원일시 보험이용여부 (음식물, 전자제품 불가) V No Weight 중량 통관시 세금이 부과될 수 있으며 고가품은 분실, 훼손 등의 사고에 대비하여 학인 보험가입을 권장합니다.

Postage Guarantee Service 배달보장 서비스 Year 년 Month 원

요금납부방법 및 기타 현금수납 (口 감액 시 표시) □ 방문접수 수수료 ( 

SEE NOTICE ON REVERSE regarding UPS Terms, and notice customs purposes. If exported from the US, shipper certific Regulations. Diversion contrary to law is prohibited.

Date:

WS 26.0.6 Zebra ZP 450 42.0A 10/2023

cing wastes

Shipper's Signature:

(인쇄일자 2022,4)



Shipper agrees to the UPS Terms found at www.ups.com and UPS service centers. For international air carriage, the Warsaw Convention as amended

damage to cargo. International carriage by road may be subject to the Convention on the Contract for the International Carriage of Goods By Road. Except as otherwise governed by international conventions or other

delay of this shipment. There are no stopping places agreed upon at the time of tender of the shipment and UPS reserves the right to route the shipment in any way it deems appropriate. Where allowed by law, shipper authorizes UPS to act as forwarding agent for export control and customs purposes. If exported from the US, shipper certifies that the commodities, technology or software were exported from the US in accordance with the Export Administration Regulations. Diversion contrary